

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virguna 22313-1450 www.uspte.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,394	03/30/2001	Joanne Chory	SALKINS.012CP1	8748
20995	7590 08/26/2003			
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			KEMMERER, ELIZABETH	
IRVINE, CA	IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	, 1
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	((

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
	09/823,394	CHORY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Elizabeth C. Kemmerer, Ph.D.	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frought, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	0. /					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3						
	This action is non-final.	unanting of the the modificion				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[. Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-22</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction find on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a firm for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Nor (:						
1. Certified copies of the principle documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the pri docume	ents have been received in Applic	ation No				
3. Copies of the certification of the papplication from the international *See the attached detailed Office action for a little of the paper.	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a first for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the formanguage 15) Acknowledgment is made of a formal for dom						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8" 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 1" -948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(San Ser No(ser No	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/823,394

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments, And/Or Claims

The amendment filed 30 June 2003 (Paper No. 10) has been entered in full. Claim 5 is canceled. Claims 1-4 and 6-22 are pending.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restriction

Newly submitted claims 13-22 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these products constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Groups I and II are directed to products that are distinct both physically and functionally, are not required one for the other, and are therefore patentably distinct. Further, the protein of Group I can be prepared by processes which are materially different from recombinant expression of the protein in the genetically engineered plants of Group II, such as by chemical synthesis, by isolation and purification from natural sources, or recombinant expression in non-plant cells (including mammalian and bacterial cells). Additionally, search and consideration of claims 12-22 would present an undue search burden to the USPTO, as they recite limitations that are not found when searching and examining protein claims. For example, polynucleotides,

Art Unit: 1646

promoters, and the plants themselves are not typically found in a search of an isolated protein.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 13-22 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 1-4 and 6-12 are under examination.

Withdrawn Objections And/Or Rejections

The objection to the declaration as set forth at p. 2 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 9, 27 March 2003) is *withdrawn* in view of the newly submitted declaration (Paper No. 10, 30 June 2003).

The objection to the specification for informalities as set forth at p. 2 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 9, 27 March 2003) is *withdrawn* in view of the amendment to the specification correcting same (Paper No. 10, 30 June 2003).

The objection to claims 1, 6 and 11 for informalities as set forth at p. 3, second paragraph, of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 9, 27 March 2003) is *withdrawn* in view of the amended claims (Paper No. 10, 30 June 2003).

The objection to claim 12 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim as set forth at p. 3, second paragraph, of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 9, 27 March

Art Unit: 1646

2003) is *withdrawn* in view of the allowability of amended claim 11 (see below and Paper No. 10, 30 June 2003).

The rejection of claims 5, 8 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as set forth at pp. 3-4 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 9, 27 March 2003) is *withdrawn* in view of the canceled and amended claims (Paper No. 10, 30 June 2003).

The rejection of claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for lack of adequate written description as set forth at pp. 7-8 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 9, 27 March 2003) is *withdrawn* in view of the amended claims (Paper No. 10, 30 June 2003).

35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for substantially purified BIN1 polypeptide comprising a fragment of SEQ ID NO: 2, wherein said fragment binds brassinosteroids, does not reasonably provide enablement for other BIN1 variants. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The basis for this rejection is set forth at pp. 4-7 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 9, 27 March 2003).

Applicant's arguments (pp. 6-7, Paper No. 10, 30 June 2003) have been fully considered but are not found to be persuasive for the following reasons. Applicant points to specific BIN1 substitutional variants (BIN1-104, BIN1-102, BIN1-6, BIN1-116)

Application/Control Number: 09/823,394

Art Unit: 1646

which have detectable binding activity. Applicant points to specific places in the specification wherein these variants are described in terms of structure, as well as assays for binding activity. However, as pointed out in the previous Office Action (p. 5, especially), the specification's discussion of these variants is confusing. The specific contradictions within the specification regarding the variants is discussed at p. 5, lines 1-15, in the previous Office Action. Applicant has not provided clarification regarding these contradictions. Therefore, the rejection is maintained, as the specification does not provide clear guidance regarding how to make and use BIN1 variants other than fragments which bind brassinosteroids.

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Claims 11 and 12 are allowable. Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are not allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/823,394

Art Unit: 1646

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth C. Kemmerer, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-2673. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

ECK August 25, 2003 ELIZADETH KEMMERER
PRIMARY EXAMINER